# SUPREME COURT MINUTES FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 15, 2000 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S091002	Trinity Flywheel Power et al., Respondents
1st Dist.	v.
A089072	Hogan Manufacturing Inc., Appellant
Div. 4	Pursuant to written request of petitioner, the above entitled
	petition for review is ordered withdrawn.

Orders were filed in the following matters extending the time within which to grant or deny a petition for review to and including the date indicated, or until review is either granted or denied:

until review is either granted or denied:			
A078883/S090354	People v. Thomas Jerome Dunaway – October 22, 2000.		
A079720/S090276	Luis Vargas v. People – October 22, 2000.		
A084539/S090204	Ophelia Y. Moore et al. v. Kaiser Foundation Hospital Inc. et al. – October 24, 2000.		
A084883/S090268	Gail C. French v. California Casualty Management Company – October 16, 2000.		
A086692/S090357	People v. Charles Vasil Statler, Jr. – October 22, 2000.		
A087708/S089680	People v. Frederick Johnson, Jr. – October 25, 2000.		
A088355/S090136	Geneva Towers Limited Partnership v. City and County of San Francisco – October 22, 2000.		
A089139/S090024	Jean Yip v. Kwang Chiu – October 17, 2000.		
A091355/S090301	Sammie Page v. Alameda County Superior Court; People, RPI – October 26, 2000.		
B112148/S090215	People v. Kirk Douglas Murphy – October 24, 2000.		
B125769/S090147	People v. Frederick Gaio, Jr. et al. – October 22, 2000.		

B128478/S090180	In re Melvin J., a Person Coming Under the Juvenile Court Law; People v. Melvin J. – October 23, 2000.
B130400/S090164	In re Pedro M., a Person Coming Under the Juvenile Court Law; People v. Pedro M. – October 20, 2000.
B130403/S090306	People v. David Martin Kohl – October 26, 2000.
B132630/S090176	Goodman & Shapiro v. National Psychiatric Services, Incorporated – October 23, 2000.
B135045/S090201	People v. Walter Harold Ridley – October 24, 2000.
B142206/S090151	Del Amo Gardens Convalescent Hospital v. Los Angeles County Superior Court; Mervin Thompson, by and through his guardian ad litem, Robert Thompson, RPI – October 19, 2000.
C030914/S090240	People v. Kareem James Starkes et al. – October 25, 2000.
C030914/S090240 C031783/S090269	People v. Kareem James Starkes et al. – October 25, 2000.  Susanne Ball v. GTE Mobilenet of California, et al. – October 16, 2000.
	Susanne Ball v. GTE Mobilenet of California, et al. –
C031783/S090269 F028852/S090355	Susanne Ball v. GTE Mobilenet of California, et al. – October 16, 2000.
C031783/S090269 F028852/S090355	Susanne Ball v. GTE Mobilenet of California, et al. – October 16, 2000.  People v. Gary Tindle – October 14, 2000.
C031783/S090269 F028852/S090355 F033661/S090182	Susanne Ball v. GTE Mobilenet of California, et al. – October 16, 2000.  People v. Gary Tindle – October 14, 2000.  People v. Nyle Francisco Demeester – October 23, 2000.
C031783/S090269 F028852/S090355 F033661/S090182 G023354/S090221	Susanne Ball v. GTE Mobilenet of California, et al. – October 16, 2000.  People v. Gary Tindle – October 14, 2000.  People v. Nyle Francisco Demeester – October 23, 2000.  People v. Nadine Celeste Anastasia – October 23, 2000.

S004507

People, Respondent

S004703

.

Michael Anthony Cox, Appellant

In re Michael Anthony Cox on Habeas Corpus

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file a reply to respondent's brief on the merits is extended to and including November 9, 2000.

No further extensions of time are contemplated.

S012945

People, Respondent

v.

Stanley Bernard Davis, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to and including November 17, 2000.

No further extensions of time will be granted.

S014664

People, Respondent

v.

Mario Lewis Gray, Appellant

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's brief is extended to and including October 11, 2000.

S027766

People, Respondent

v.

Stephen Cole, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to and including October 12, 2000.

S040471

People, Respondent

v.

Milton Ray Pollock, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to and including November 20, 2000.

#### S071265 In re Kurt Michaels

on

Habeas Corpus

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is extended to and including November 20, 2000.

### S081120 In re Gerald Frank Stanley

on

Habeas Corpus

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file petitioner's reply to informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is extended to and including October 11, 2000.

### S086474 In re Ralph Michael Yeoman

on

Habeas Corpus

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is extended to and including October 10, 2000.

### S087893 People, Respondent

v.

Ejaan Dupree McCoy et al., Appellants

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's opening brief on the merits is extended to and including October 11, 2000.

### S089272 In re Terry D. Bemore

on

Habeas Corpus

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file petitioner's reply to informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is extended to and including October 26, 2000.

### S089818 In re **Richard Michael Elinski** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Richard Michael Elinski**, **State Bar No. 112892**, be suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for two years on condition that he be actually suspended for 30 days. Respondent is also ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation executed May 19, 2000. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti* v. *State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6140.7.

### S089822 In re **Calvin F. Elam, Jr.** on Discipline

It is ordered that Calvin F. Elam, Jr., State Bar No. 146368, be suspended from the practice of law for three years and until he has shown proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for three years on condition that he be actually suspended for 45 days. He is also ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation, including restitution, recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation executed on May 25, 2000. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See Segretti v. State Bar (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6140.7.

# S089825 In re **David William Bargman** on Discipline

It is ordered that **David William Bargman**, **State Bar No. 90684**, be suspended from the practice of law for 12 months, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for 24 months on condition that he be actually suspended for 30 days. He is also ordered to comply with the other conditions

of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation executed on May 30, 2000. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti* v. *State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar and one-half of said costs shall be added to and become part of the membership fees years 2001 and 2002. (Bus. & Prof. Code section 6086.10.)

## S089829 In re **Nancy Lee Kelso** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Nancy Lee Kelso, State Bar No. 53880,** be suspended from the practice of law for two years, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that she be placed on probation for two years subject to the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation filed on May 24, 2000. It is further ordered that she take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti* v. *State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6140.7.

#### S089833 In re **Joslyn Aitken** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Joslyn Aitken, State Bar No. 88848**, be suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that she be placed on probation for one year subject to the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation filed on May 5, 2000. It is further ordered that she take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination after January 1, 2000, and in no event later than within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti* v. *State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6140.7.

S089835 In re **John M. Goodman** on Discipline

It is ordered that John M. Goodman, State Bar No. 147569, be suspended from the practice of law for two years and until he provides proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for five years subject to the conditions of probation, including 90 days actual suspension, recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation executed on May 19, 2000. It is also ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See Segretti v. State Bar (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) It is further ordered that he comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in equal installments for membership years 2001, 2002 and 2003.

\*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S089836 In re **Harry W. Zimmerman** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Harry W. Zimmerman, State Bar No. 166422,** be suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for three years subject to the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation executed on June 14, 2000. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti* v. *State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in equal installments for membership years 2001 and 2002.

## S089837 In re **Paul S. Marchand** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Paul S. Marchand, State Bar No. 147146,** be suspended from the practice of law for six months, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for two years on condition that he be actually suspended for 30 days. Respondent is also ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation executed on June 14, 2000. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti* v. *State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in equal amounts for membership years 2001 and 2002.

## S089839 In re **Roger Charles Crobarger** on Discipline

It is hereby ordered that **Roger Charles Crobarger** be summarily disbarred from the practice of law and that his name be stricken from the roll of attorneys. He is also ordered to comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and to perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

\*(See Business & Professions Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

#### S089840 In re **Fadlo Paul Mousalam** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Fadlo Paul Mousalam, State Bar No. 38640,** be suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for two years on condition that he be actually suspended for 45 days. Respondent is also ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation executed June 7, 2000. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti* v. *State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in equal amounts for membership years 2001 and 2002.

# S089842 In re **James David Pittman** on Discipline

It is hereby ordered that **James David Pittman**, **State Bar No. 92995**, be disbarred from the practice of law and that his name be stricken from the roll of attorneys. He is also ordered to comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and to perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

\*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)